

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PERLEY, HILL & McDOUGALL
INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY
DEPARTMENT

Rec'd MAR 20 2001

ONE PCT

RECEIVED

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT (PCT Rule 71.1)

From the
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

KLOTZ, TREVOR C.
PERLEY-ROBERTSON, PANET, HILL &
McDOUGALL
90 Sparks Street, 4th Floor
Ottawa, Ontario K1P 1E2
CANADA

Date of mailing
(day/month/year) 15.03.2001

Applicant's or agent's file reference
HERY 011

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.
PCT/CA00/00003

International filing date (day/month/year)
05/01/2000

Priority date (day/month/year)
06/01/1999

Applicant
HENRY, RICHARD

1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/

European Patent Office
D-80298 Munich



Tel. +49 89 2369-0 Fax: +49 89 2369-1

Authorized officer

Exner, K



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference HERY 011		FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No. PCT/CA00/00003	International filing date (day/month/year) 05/01/2000	Priority date (day/month/year) 06/01/1999	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A61K31/167			
Applicant HENRY, RICHARD			

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.



2. This REPORT consists of a total of 9 sheets, including this cover sheet.

☒ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of 3 sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- I ☒ Basis of the report
- II ☐ Priority
- III ☒ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention
- V ☒ Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- VI ☐ Certain documents cited
- VII ☒ Certain defects in the international application
- VIII ☐ Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 24/07/2000	Date of completion of this report 15.03.2001
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 enmu d	Authorized officer Pilling, S 

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/CA00/00003

I. Basis of the report

1. This report has been drawn on the basis of *(substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).):*

Description, pages:

1-16 as originally filed

Claims, No.:

1-14 as received on 24/07/2000 with letter of 17/05/2000

Drawings, sheets:

1/3-3/3 as originally filed

2. With regard to the **language**, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages:
- ☐ the claims, Nos.:

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/CA00/00003

☐ the drawings, sheets:

5. ☒ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

see separate sheet

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

☐ the entire international application.

☒ claims Nos. 1-8,14.

because:

☒ the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-8,14 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (*specify*):
see separate sheet

☐ the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):

☐ the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.

☐ no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. .

2. A meaningful international preliminary examination report cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:

☐ the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.

☐ the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims 2,9-14

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/CA00/00003

	No:	Claims	1,3-8
Inventive step (IS)	Yes:	Claims	
	No:	Claims	1-14
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	9-13(for Claims 1-8,14 see the comments under Item V on separate sheet)
	No:	Claims	

2. Citations and explanations
see separate sheet

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:
see separate sheet

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/CA00/00003

Re Item I

Basis of the opinion

1. The amendments filed with the letter dated 17th May 2000 introduce subject matter which extends beyond the content of the application as filed, contrary to Article 34(2)(b) PCT. The amendments concerned are the following;
 - a) The reference in Claims 1 and 14 to the alkalinizing agent being provided in sufficient quantity to raise the pH of the bladder "to approximately the pKa of the local anesthetic"; in this regard, it is noted that the originally filed description indicates (i) that it would in general be desirable that the intra-vesical pH be elevated "closer to the pKa of the local anesthetic" (see page 8 lines 17 to 20); (ii) each local anaesthetic has an optimum basic pH for absorption (see page 7 lines 7 to 10) and; (iii) in the case of lidocaine it would seem that the optimum pH range for absorption, *i.e.* pH 8.0 to 8.3 (see page 14 line 26 to page 15 line 5 and Table 1) is slightly above the pKa for lidocaine (pH 7.9) (see page 9 lines 6 to 15) Nevertheless, there is no disclosure that there is a link between the optimum pH for absorption and the pKa and there seems to be no clear teaching in this document that in every case, *i.e.* under all conditions and with all local anaesthetics, the intra-vesical pH should be raised to approximately the pKa of the local anaesthetic.
 - b) the definition in Claim 13 that a "*quantity of alkalinizing agent is 5 to 50 ml of 2-20% sodium bicarbonate*"; in this regard, the originally filed description only appeared to disclose a concentration range of bicarbonate of from "2-10%" (see page 12 lines 27 to 29).
 - c) the method of Claim 14 that involves "*the steps of periodically administering to a patient..Etc*"; in particular no reference to periodic administration can be found in the originally filed description.
2. Hence, the amendments identified above have not been taken into account when making the following assessment of novelty and inventive step of the claims.

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/CA00/00003

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

3. Claims 1 to 8 and 14 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

4. The present application relates to methods for anaesthetizing a patient's bladder using a local anaesthetic in combination with an alkalinizing agent (Claims 1 to 8); pharmaceutical combinations for anaesthetizing a patient's bladder comprising a local anaesthetic and an alkalinizing agent in a syringe (Claims 9 to 13) and methods of treating interstitial cystitis using a local anaesthetic in combination with an alkalinizing agent (Claim 14).
5. Claims 1 to 8 and 14 relate to methods of treatment of the human or animal body by therapy (see present page 1 lines 12 to 17), surgery (see present Claim 3) and diagnosis (see present page 9 lines 16 to 17). In this regard, for the assessment of these claims with respect to industrial applicability, no unified criteria exist in the PCT. Furthermore, patentability can be dependent on the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example does not recognize as industrially applicable, the subject matter of claims directed to a method of treatment of the human or animal body or to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.
6. The documents cited in the International Search Report (ISR) are consecutively numbered D1 to D4 as follows;

D1: British Journal of Urology (1979) 51(6) 500-503

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/CA00/00003

D2: British Journal of Urology (1987) 60(6) 516-518

D3: The Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics (1965) 150(1)
152-159

D4: Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology (1994) 28 (4) 359-64

D5: *US-A-5137528

D6: *BIOSIS Abstract Accession No 0692950 & Asklin B *et al*, Scand. J. Urol.
Nephrol. 23(4), 1989, pp 311-312

* documents D5 and D6 were known to the International Preliminary Examining
Authority and copies are enclosed herewith

Claims 1 to 8; methods for anaesthetizing a patient's bladder

7. Document D1 discloses that detrusor instability can be treated by anaesthetising the bladder of the patient. This anaesthetic treatment is performed by introducing 40 ml of 1% lignocaine solution with 40 ml of an 8.4% solution of sodium bicarbonate through a urethral catheter into the bladder (see the "*Patients and Methods*" on pages 500 to 501 of D1).
8. Document D2 similarly discloses treatment of patients with detrusor instability by filling the bladder with lignocaine hydrochloride in bicarbonate solution (see the "*Patients and Methods*" on page 516 of D2).
9. Thus, the subject matter of Claims 1 and 3 to 8 is not new in view of the disclosures of each of documents D1 or D2 (Article 33(2) PCT).
10. None of the documents appears to disclose a method according to present Claim 2 wherein the local anaesthetic and alkalinizing agent are provided to the bladder separately.

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/CA00/00003

11. Thus, the subject matter of Claim 2 is new (Article 33(2) PCT).
12. The closest prior art in respect of Claim 2 is considered to be document D1. As indicated herein above, this document discloses treatment of detrusor instability by intra vesical instillation of lignocaine and sodium carbonate. It is further noted that this document indicates that sodium bicarbonate is necessary in order to achieve alkalinization of the bladder contents for the most effective action of the lignocaine solution. (see the "Discussion" on pages 502 to 503 in D1). This document does not, however, clearly disclose if the lignocaine and sodium bicarbonate solution were introduced separately or together.
13. It is considered however that separate administration of the local anaesthetic and alkalinizing agent as set out in Claim 2 is insufficient to confer inventive step on the subject matter of this claim. In this regard, it seems that administration of said local anaesthetic and alkalinizing agent must either be carried out together or separately and that there is no surprising technical effect resulting from either of these alternative modes of administration.
14. Thus, the subject matter of Claim 2 is not inventive in view of the disclosure of document D1 (Article 33(3) PCT).

Claims 9 to 13 combinations for anaesthetizing a patient's bladder

15. For reasons substantially as set out in respect of Claim 2 (see herein above), it is considered that the subject matter of Claims 9 to 13 is new (Article 33(2) PCT) but is not inventive in view of the disclosure of document D1 (Article 33(3) PCT). In this regard, as indicated above it is considered that methods of anaesthetizing the bladder via separate instillation of local anaesthetic and alkalinizing agent into the bladder are obvious. Present Claim 9 merely appears to relate to a conventional single use disposable syringe that has been adapted to carry out the obvious method of Claim 2. This adaptation is considered to be routine and makes no inventive contribution to the present art.
16. In support of the above comments, the Applicant's attention is drawn to the disclosure of document D5 that describes a syringe comprising both a local

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/CA00/00003

anaesthetic and an alkalinizing agent.

Claim 14; methods for treating interstitial cystitis

17. None of the presently cited documents disclose methods of treating interstitial cystitis using a local anaesthetic in combination with an alkalinizing agent. Thus, the subject matter of Claim 14 is new (Article 33(2) PCT).
18. The following comments are however relevant to lack of inventive step of Claim 14; document D6 shows that treatment of interstitial cystitis using a local anaesthetic, *i.e.* lidocaine is known. In view of the teaching in each of documents D1 or D2 that the optimal anaesthetic effect is achieved at an alkaline pH, it is considered obvious to add an alkalinizing agent to the treatment of document D6. In this regard, the improved effects of the new treatment, *i.e.* enhanced anaesthetic effect could have been predicted by one skilled in this art with reference to either of documents D1 or D2.
19. Thus, the subject matter of Claim 14 is not inventive in view of the disclosure of document D1 (Article 33(3) PCT).

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

20. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in documents D1 and D2 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

M 24 07 00
17

I CLAIM:

1. A method for anesthetizing the bladder of a patient in need thereof, comprising the step of providing a sufficient quantity of a local anesthetic and an alkalinizing agent to the bladder of said patient to anesthetize the bladder, said local anesthetic being provided
5 as an aqueous solution, said alkalinizing agent being provided in sufficient quantity to raise the pH of the bladder to approximately the pKa of the local anesthetic to convert at least a portion of said local anesthetic to its base form.

2. The method of claim 1 wherein said local anesthetic and said alkalinizing agent are provided to said bladder separately.

10 3. The method of claim 1 wherein said providing step is performed by instillation of said alkalinizing agent and said local anesthetic into the bladder by means selected from the group consisting of a catheter placed into the bladder via the urethra of said patient, and percutaneously through the abdominal wall of said patient.

15 4. The method of claim 1 wherein said local anesthetic is selected from the group consisting of procaine, cocaine, chlorprocaine, tetracaine, mepivacaine, lidocaine, prilocaine, bupivacaine, etidiocaine, ropivacaine, and benzocaine.

5. The method of claim 1 wherein said alkalinizing agent is sodium bicarbonate.

M 24.07.00
18

6. The method of claim 1 wherein said local anesthetic is lidocaine and said alkalinizing agent is sodium bicarbonate.

7. The method of claim 1 wherein said alkalinizing agent provided in said providing step raises said pH of said bladder to about 8.

5 8. The method of claim 1 wherein said local anesthetic is provided in a sufficient concentration reduce bacterial infectants in said bladder of said patient.

9. A pharmaceutical combination for anesthetizing a patient's bladder comprising:
a sufficient quantity of a local anesthetic to anesthetize said patient's bladder; and
a sufficient quantity of an alkalinizing agent to raise the pH of said patient's
10 bladder to a level which causes the conversion of said local anesthetic to its base form,
wherein said local anesthetic and said alkalinizing agent are positioned in a single-use,
disposable syringe which maintains the local anesthetic and said alkalinizing agent
separate until instilled in the bladder.

5 10. The pharmaceutical combination of claim 9 wherein said local anesthetic is selected
from the group consisting of procaine, cocaine, chlorprocaine, tetracaine, mepivacaine,
lidocaine, bupivacaine, etidiocaine, ropivacaine, and benzocaine.

M 24 . 07 . 00
19

11. The pharmaceutical combination of claim 9 wherein said alkalinizing agent is sodium bicarbonate.
12. The pharmaceutical combination of claim 9 wherein said local anesthetic is lidocaine and said alkalinizing agent is sodium bicarbonate.
- 5 13. The pharmaceutical combination of claim 9, wherein said sufficiently quantity of local anesthetic is 2 to 20 ml of 1-10% lidocaine, and wherein said sufficient quantity of alkalinizing agent is 5-50 ml of 2-20% sodium bicarbonate.
14. A method for treating interstitial cystitis, comprising the steps of periodically administering to a patient in need thereof a sufficient quantity of a local anesthetic and an alkalinizing agent, said local anesthetic and alkalinizing agent being provided to the bladder of said patient to anesthetize the bladder, said local anesthetic being provided in an aqueous solution, said alkalinizing agent being provided in sufficient quantity to raise the pH of the bladder to approximately the pKa of the local anesthetic to convert at least a portion of said local anesthetic to its base form.
- 0

PCT/CA00/00003

Topical Anesthesia of the Urinary Bladder.

Proposed Amended Claims. 6th December 2000

1. A method for anesthetizing the bladder of a patient in need thereof, comprising the steps of instilling a sufficient quantity of a local anesthetic followed by an alkalinizing agent into the bladder of said patient, said local anesthetic being provided as an aqueous solution, said alkalinizing agent being provided in sufficient quantity to raise the pH of the residual urine and local anesthetic solution in the bladder closer to the pKa of said local anesthetic.
2. A method of claim 1, wherein said local anesthetic is selected from the group consisting of procaine, cocaine, chlorprocaine, tetracaine, mepivacaine, lidocaine, prilocaine, bupivacaine, etidocaine, ropivacaine and benzocaine.
3. A method of claim 1, wherein said local anesthetic is an aqueous solution of lidocaine hydrochloride at a concentration of 1-10 %.
4. A method of claim 1, wherein said alkalinizing agent is sodium bicarbonate.
5. A method of claim 1, wherein said alkalinizing agent provided in said step raises the intravesical pH to 8.0 – 8.3.
6. A method of claim 1, wherein said local anesthetic is lidocaine, said alkalinizing agent is sodium bicarbonate and the pH of both the local anesthetic and the residual urine is about 8.0.
7. A pharmaceutical combination for anesthetizing a patient's bladder comprising:
a sufficient quantity of a local anesthetic to anesthetize said patient's bladder: and
a sufficient quantity of an alkalinizing agent to raise the pH of said patient's bladder contents to between 8.0 and 8.3, wherein injectable solutions of said local anesthetic and said alkalinizing agent are provided in a suitable pre-filled syringe that separates the two solutions until they are injected into the bladder of said patient via a urinary catheter or other means of urethral injection.
8. A pharmaceutical combination of claim 7, wherein said local anesthetic is selected from the group consisting of procaine, cocaine, chlorprocaine, tetracaine, mepivacaine, lidocaine, prilocaine, bupivacaine, etidocaine, ropivacaine and benzocaine.
9. A pharmaceutical combination of claim 7, wherein said local anesthetic is an aqueous solution of lidocaine hydrochloride at a concentration of 1-10 %.

10. A pharmaceutical combination of claim 7, wherein said alkalinizing agent is sodium bicarbonate.
11. A pharmaceutical combination of claim 7, wherein said sufficient quantity of local anesthetic is 2- 20 ml of 1-10% lidocaine hydrochloride in aqueous solution, and wherein said sufficient quantity of alkalinizing agent is 5-50ml of 2-10% sodium bicarbonate in aqueous solution.
12. A pharmaceutical combination of claim 7, wherein said sufficient quantity of local anesthetic is 5 ml of 10% lidocaine hydrochloride in aqueous solution, and wherein said sufficient quantity of alkalinizing agent is 5ml of 8.4% sodium bicarbonate in aqueous solution.
13. A method for treating interstitial cystitis, comprising the steps of providing chronic multiple intravesical administrations to a patient in need thereof a sufficient quantity of a local anesthetic to anesthetize said patient's bladder; and a sufficient quantity of an alkalinizing agent to raise the pH of said patient's bladder contents to between 8.0 and 8.3.
14. A method for treating interstitial cystitis of claim 13, comprising the steps of chronic multiple intravesical administrations to a patient in need thereof a sufficient quantity of a local anesthetic to anesthetize said patient's bladder; and a sufficient quantity of an alkalinizing agent to raise the pH of said patient's bladder contents to between 8.0 and 8.3, wherein injectable solutions of said local anesthetic and said alkalinizing agent are provided in a suitable pre-filled syringe that separates the two solutions until they are injected into the bladder of said patient via a urinary catheter or other means of urethral injection.
15. A method for treating interstitial cystitis of claim 13, wherein said local anesthetic is selected from the group consisting of procaine, cocaine, chlorprocaine, tetracaine, mepivacaine, lidocaine, prilocaine, bupivacaine, etidocaine, ropivacaine and benzocaine.
16. A method for treating interstitial cystitis of claim 13, wherein said sufficient quantity of local anesthetic is 2- 20 ml of 1-10% lidocaine hydrochloride in aqueous solution, and wherein said sufficient quantity of alkalinizing agent is 5-50ml of 2-10% sodium bicarbonate in aqueous solution.
17. A method for treating interstitial cystitis of claim 13, wherein said sufficient quantity of local anesthetic is 5 ml of 10% lidocaine hydrochloride in aqueous solution, and wherein said sufficient quantity of alkalinizing agent is 5ml of 8.4% sodium bicarbonate in aqueous solution.

Response to Written Opinion 6/10/2000.12.07

1. a) Claims 1-13 have been changed to read: "to raise the pH of the residual urine and local anesthetic solution in the bladder closer to the pKa of said local anesthetic."

The reviewer states that "there is no clear teaching that there is a link between optimum pH for absorption and the pKa". However, the inventor clearly states on pg 8 line 17 that "a means to reliably elevate the intra-vesical pH closer to the pKa of the local anesthetic (about 8)would improve bladder absorption." The inventor further states on pg 9 line 6 that: "The present invention is based upon the discovery that there is an optimum pH in the bladder at which absorption of lidocaine is five times greater than at lower or higher pHs."

This optimum pH for lidocaine is described on pg 14 line 27-29: "These figures show the blood and tissue levels of radio-labeled lidocaine and clearly shows an optimum pH level for the absorption of lidocaine (preferably between 7.8 and 8.45, and most preferably between 8.0 and 8.3)."

Again on pg 15 line 1-5 the inventor states that: "Other local anesthetics should have similar performance characteristics with the optimum absorption varying depending on the pKa of the anesthetic used."

1. b) the definition of claim 13 (now claim 11) has been changed to the originally filed description of 2-10%.
1. c) Claim 14 (now claim 13) has been changed to read "comprising the steps of chronic multiple intravesical administrations to a patient in need thereof...etc"
This text is taken from pg. 13 lines 14-18: "Chronic use of topical anesthesia in the bladder is also contemplated for this invention. In this case, for example, topical anesthesia can be administered multiple times to control the chronic....etc"

7-9. Document D1. This document discloses the use of bicarbonate to alkalinize intravesical lidocaine, aiming to improve absorption. There is no disclosure as to whether this technique succeeded in improving lidocaine absorption. In fact, a subsequent study (D2) clearly showed that most of the effect on bladder stability was due to the higher pH (8.5) and not the lidocaine. Taken together, these papers describe the use of alkalinization and lidocaine in the bladder, but show that it is not effective. This probably has a lot to do with the high pH that was used (8.5), since the inventor has shown that high pHs are as ineffective as low pHs in promoting absorption.

- 13-14. The reviewer contends that separate administration of lidocaine and bicarbonate is not important to the end result. However, it is crucial that the two solutions be mixed only in the bladder as mixing causes the rapid precipitation of lidocaine base out of solution and the formation of large crystals of lidocaine. While this pre-mixing may be suitable for needle infiltration of tissue, thereby depositing the lidocaine crystals in the tissue, bladder instillation relies on topical absorption where concentration and pH are critical factors.
15. The revised claims now more accurately reflect the inventive steps of combining local anesthetic and bicarb such that the resultant intravesical pH is within the narrow range for optimal topical absorption of local anesthetic.
16. D5 describes a syringe for injecting local anesthetic and bicarb into body tissue. The drugs are mixed before delivery to provide a homogenous solution of buffered local anesthetic for infiltration. this is both undesirable and unnecessary for intravesical lidocaine as the two solutions will mix in the bladder, upon which the lidocaine will start to precipitate out of solution onto the bladder membrane, where it will dissolve in the thin mucous layer on the bladder wall and from which it will be absorbed into the bladder.
- 17-19. Interstitial cystitis; (article 33(2) PCT) Intravesical lidocaine is described for the treatment of a patient with a severe form of IC in which the bladder wall is eroded to form large ulcerated areas (Hunner's ulcers). This ulceration allowed the absorption of local anesthetic, which would not have occurred had the bladder wall been intact. Thus, the author's reported the use of intravesical lidocaine for the treatment of this ulcerated (classic), and more rare form of interstitial cystitis.